

Communication

Self-Assembled Dinuclear Cobalt(II)-Salen Catalyst Through Hydrogen-Bonding and Its Application to Enantioselective Nitro-Aldol (Henry) Reaction

Jongwoo Park, Kai Lang, Khalil A. Abboud, and Sukwon Hong

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (49), 16484-16485 • DOI: 10.1021/ja807221s • Publication Date (Web): 14 November 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Self-Assembled Dinuclear Cobalt(II)-Salen Catalyst Through Hydrogen-Bonding and Its Application to Enantioselective Nitro-Aldol (Henry) Reaction

Jongwoo Park, Kai Lang, Khalil A. Abboud, and Sukwon Hong*

Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, P.O. Box 117200, Gainesville, Florida 32611-7200

Received September 11, 2008; E-mail: shong@chem.ufl.edu

Cooperative reactivity between multiple metal centers in close proximity is a common phenomenon for enzymatic systems,¹ whereas most synthetic homogeneous transition metal catalysts consist of one metal center. Recently, there have been growing efforts to develop bimetallic catalysts enabling cooperative, simultaneous activation of both an electrophile and a nucleophile in asymmetric catalysis.² For example, chiral metal salen complexes developed by Jacobsen and co-workers are highly efficient catalysts for the asymmetric epoxide opening reactions, wherein two metal centers are involved in the transition state.³ This discovery led to the development of a number of covalently linked macromolecular salen structures⁴ showing improved reactivity as well as enanti-oselectivity.

The self-assembly or supramolecular approach using reversible noncovalent bonding interactions⁵ such as hydrogen bonding⁶ is a highly attractive strategy which enables rapid construction of multinuclear systems in solution via self-assembly of monomeric units. Herein we wish to report a novel dinuclear Co(II)-salen catalyst self-assembled through complementary hydrogen bonding interactions which results in significant enhancement of reaction rates and enantioselectivity of Henry reactions. Our catalyst design features two 2-pyridone/aminopyridine hydrogen bonding pairs^{6e,f} to create self-assembled dimers in solution (Figure 1). The unsymmetrical (**2a**) and symmetrical (**2b**–**c**)⁷ salen ligands were prepared by condensation of salicylaldehydes and (*R*,*R*)-1,2-cyclohexane diamine (Figure 1).⁸ Cobalt (II) complexes **1a**–**d** were prepared by reacting the corresponding salens with Co(OAc)₂·4H₂O under argon.



Figure 1. Structure of self-complementary dinuclear Co(salen) complex and symmetrical Co(salen) complexes.

The Henry reaction is an important C–C bond forming reaction, and many excellent asymmetric catalytic systems have been developed.⁹ Recently, the Yamada group^{10a} and the Skarżewski group^{10b} reported that Co(II)- and Cr(III)-salen complexes can catalyze this reaction in the presence of external base. We envisioned that this reaction could serve as an ideal model system to test our new self-assembled catalyst because both aldehydes and

nitronate ions can bind to the metal center and the reaction proceeds in aprotic solvents such as CH_2Cl_2 .

Newly prepared Co(salen) catalysts were tested in the reaction of o-methoxybenzaldehyde and nitromethane in the presence of 2 mol% of DIPEA (Table 1). We were pleased to find that the unsymmetrical Co(salen) catalyst 1a afforded the nitroaldol adduct 6a in 87% yield and 96% ee (entry 1), whereas monomeric Co(II) catalyst 4 and Co(III) catalyst $4(OAc)^{11}$ gave the product in less than 11% yield and 55-64% ee under the same conditions (entries 8-9). Symmetrical Co(salen)s (1b and 1c) gave the product in similarly low yields and slightly improved ee's (entries 5-6). In addition, loss of enantioselectivity was observed when selfassembled catalyst (1a) or monomeric catalyst (4) was used in MeOH (entries 3 and 10). However, when an equimolar mixture of Co(salen)s 1b and 1c was used as a heterodimeric catalyst, 87% ee was obtained, but the yield was low (18%) as they are used alone, suggesting that those two symmetrical salens would form a relatively weak dimeric species (entry 7).¹² When 100 mol% of base were used, the reaction was accelerated with the slight loss of enantioselectivity (entry 2). Interestingly, when free ligand 2a was added to the reaction mixture, yield was lowered noticeably, suggesting that 2a might be a competitive inhibitor for the bimetallic species formation (entry 4).

Table 1.	Henry	Reaction	of	o-Methox	ybenzaldeh	yde ^a
----------	-------	----------	----	----------	------------	------------------

	СНО	+ CH ₃ NO ₂ 10 equiv.	DIPEA solvent, -30 °C		_NO;	
entry	Co(salen)	DIPEA (mol%)	solvent	time (h)	yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	1a	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	87	96
2	1a	100	CH_2Cl_2	40	91	91
3	1a	2	MeOH	40	89	25
4	$1\mathbf{a} + 2\mathbf{a}^d$	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	59	96
5	1b	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	12	72
6	1c	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	16	69
7	$1\mathbf{b} + 1\mathbf{c}^{e}$	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	18	87
8	4	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	11	55
9	4(OAc)	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	<10	64
10	4	2	MeOH	40	93	5
11	1d	2	CH_2Cl_2	90	14	70

^{*a*} The reaction was carried out at 0.25 mmol scale in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) or MeOH (1.0 mL) at -30 °C. ^{*b*} In cases of low yield, only the unreacted starting material was recovered. ^{*c*} Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*} Salen ligand **2a** (6 mol%) was used as an additive. ^{*e*} **1b** (1 mol%) and **1c** (1 mol%) were used as an equimolar mixture.

The reaction scope was then studied by varying aryl aldehyde substrates using the self-assembled Co(salen) **1a** (Table 2). Slightly more concentrated conditions were used to further reduce the reaction time for less reactive substrates. High enantiomeric excesses (81-96% ee) and good to excellent yields (65-99%) were obtained for various aryl aldehydes.

Table 2. Scope of Aromatic Aldehydes of the Self-Assembled Cobalt(salen) Catalyzed Asymmetric Henry Reaction^a

	O A_{T} + $CH_{3}NO_{2}$ A_{T} + 10 equiv.	Co(salen) 1 a (2-5 m DIPEA (2 mol%) CH ₂ Cl ₂	01%)) Ar	H NO	
entry	aldehyde	Co(salen) (mol%)	time (h)	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	o-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (5a)	2	48	89	96
2	o-ClC ₆ H ₄ (5b)	2	14	97	93
3	<i>o</i> -FC ₆ H ₄ (5c)	2	14	97	94
4	$p-CF_{3}C_{6}H_{4}$ (5d)	2	40	99	82
5	$p-FC_{6}H_{4}$ (5e)	2	40	65	90
6	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (5f) ^c	5	110	77	81
7	1-naphthyl (5g)	2	40	92	91
8	2-naphthyl (5h)	2	65	88	87

 a The reaction was carried out at 0.25 mmol scale in CH_2Cl_2 (0.25 mL) at -30 °C. b Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. c 10 mol% of DIPEA were used.

To confirm our hypothesis that the bimetallic activation plays a critical role in the Co(salen) catalyzed Henry reaction, kinetic studies were performed by monitoring initial reaction rates (consumption of *o*-methoxybenzaldehyde) by HPLC. Rate laws were found to be second order in cobalt concentration (Rate = k_{obs} [Co-Salen]²) for both monomeric (4) and self-assembled Co(salen) complexes (1a), indicating that two molecules of 4 and 1a are involved in the transition state. Rate constant k_{obs} of self-assembled catalyst 1a is 289 M⁻¹ h⁻¹, which is 48 times larger than that of monomeric cobalt-salen catalyst 4 ($k_{obs} = 6.02 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$).⁸ The rate acceleration by 1a can be rationalized by the facile formation of a stable dimer of 1a through hydrogen bonding in nonpolar media.

The X-ray structure of Ni(Salen) complex **3a** clearly showed self-assembly through hydrogen bonding (Figure 2). The self-assembled dimer adopts the "head-to-tail" conformation where the metal-metal distance is 4.054 Å. To verify the hydrogen-bonding event in solution, ¹H experiments were performed with metal-free salen ligand **2a**.^{8,13} When 25% v/v CD₃NO₂ in CDCl₃ was used as a media which is similar to the actual Henry reaction conditions, the NH proton signal of the pivalamide group and the signals of three protons of the pyridine ring in the ligand **2a** were changed upon variation of concentration (0.14–19.09 mM).¹⁴ The dimerization constant K_{dim} of self-assembled salen ligand **2a** was estimated as 53 ± 21 M⁻¹ by using nonlinear curve fitting methods.¹⁵ These solution-phase ¹H experiments confirm the hydrogen-bonding interaction in the pyridone-aminopyridine functionalized-salen ligand **2a**.



Figure 2. X-ray structure of self-assembled Ni(Salen) complex **3a**•**3a**. The Ni–Ni distance is 4.054(2) Å. The hydrogen-bonding donor–acceptor distances are 2.858(7) for N–H-O and 2.922(7) for N-H–N. Disorders on the cyclohexane rings were removed for clarity.⁸ Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

In conclusion, we have developed a chiral bimetallic Co(II)salen catalyst self-assembled through hydrogen bonding, which results in significant rate acceleration as well as excellent enantioselectivity in Henry reaction. The self-assembly through hydrogen bonding was confirmed by the X-ray structure and ¹H experiments. A bimetallic mechanism is suggested by the kinetic experiment (Rate \propto [catalyst]²). This result proves the validity of novel self-assembly based approaches toward the efficient construction of chiral bimetallic catalyst systems. Further modifications of ligand structure to allow formation of heterobimetallic dimers are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank Prof. Ron Castellano for helpful discussions and the University of Florida for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details, NMR spectra for new compounds, kinetic data, nonlinear curve-fitting analysis, and HPLC analysis data (pdf), and X-ray crystallographic data for **3a** (cif). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Sträter, N.; Lipscomb, W. N.; Klabunde, T.; Krebs, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2024.
- Review: (a) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4566. Selected examples on chiral bimetallic catalysts: (b) Lundgren, S.; Wingstrand, E.; Penhoat, M.; Moberg, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11592. (c) Kanai, M.; Kato, N.; Ichikawa, E.; Shibasaki, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2005, 77, 2047. (d) Handa, S.; Nagawa, K.; Sohtome, Y.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3230. (e) Trost, B. M.; Lupton, D. W. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2023.
- (3) (a) Hansen, K. B.; Leighton, J. L.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10924. (b) Tokunaga, M.; Larrow, J. F.; Kakiuchi, F.; Jacobsen, E. N. Science 1997, 277, 936. Detailed mechanistic study, see: (c) Nielsen, L. P. C.; Stevenson, C. P.; Blackmond, D. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1360.
- (4) (a) Konsler, R. G.; Karl, J.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10780. (b) Ready, J. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1374. (c) Breinbauer, R.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3604. (d) Annis, D. A.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4147. (e) Zheng, X.; Jones, C. W.; Weck, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1105. (f) Gianneschi, N. C.; Cho, S.-H.; Nguyen, S. T.; Mirkin, C. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5503.
- (5) Reviews on supramolecular catalysts: (a) Cacciapaglia, R.; Di Stefano, S.; Mandolini, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 113. (b) Sanders, J. K. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 1998, 4, 1378.
- (6) Selected examples of supramolecular catalysts using hydrogen-bonding interactions: (a) Ohshima, S.; Tamura, N.; Nabeshima, T.; Yano, Y. Chem. Commun. 1993, 712. (b) Ohsaki, K.; Konishi, K.; Aida, T. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1690. (c) Chen, J.; Rebek, J., Jr Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 327. (d) Thomas, C. M.; Ward, T. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 337. (e) Breit, B.; Seiche, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1640. (f) Weis, M.; Waloch, C.; Seiche, W.; Breit, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4188. (g) Sandee, A. J.; van der Burg, A. M.; Reek, J. N. H. Chem. Commun. 2007, 864. (h) Shi, L.; Wang, X.; Sandoval, C. A.; Li, M.; Qi, Q.; Li, Z.; Ding, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4108. (i) Jónsson, S.; Odille, F. G. J.; Norrby, P.-O.; Warnmark, K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1927. (j) Clarke, M. L.; Fuentes, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 930.
- (7) Symmetrical salens are expected to form oligomeric or polymeric aggregates due to their arrays of hydrogen-bonding donors and acceptors. See: Ducharme, Y.; Wuest, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5787.
- (8) See Supporting Information for experimental details.
- (9) Recent reviews: (a) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Mielgo, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5442. (b) Boruwa, J.; Gogoi, N.; Saikia, P. P.; Barua, N. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 3315. (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Laso, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2561.
- (10) (a) Kogami, Y.; Nakajima, T.; Ikeno, T.; Yamada, T. Synthesis 2004, 1947.
 (b) Kowalczyk, R.; Sidorowicz, Ł.; Skarżewski, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 2581.
- (11) The oxidation state of the catalytically active Co species is not clear at this point. More detailed mechanistic study is needed. See ref 3c.
- (12) The formation of a strong *hetero*dimeric species (1b+1c) with two symmetrical structures is more challenging, because more precise control of the tether lengths and the torsion angle is necessary.
- (13) Use of ¹H NMR spectroscopy to determine the dimerization constant of strong hydrogen-bonding dimers: (a) Wash, P. L.; Maverick, E.; Chiefari, J.; Lightner, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 3802. (b) Corbin, P. S.; Zimmerman, S. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 9710. (c) Lafitte, V. G. H.; Aliev, A. E.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Bala, K.; Golding, P.; Hailes, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, *128*, 6544.
- (14) The NH signal of 2-pyridone was not observed due to the peak broadening in this solvent system.
- (15) (a) Conners, K. A. Binding Constants: The Measurement of Molecular Complex Stability; Wiley: New York, 1987. (b) Sigel, R. K. O.; Freisinger, E.; Metzger, S.; Lippert, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12000.
- JA807221S